







# **Comparing criminal careers:**

# sex offenders versus non-sex offenders

Luc ROBERT (NICC)

In collaboration with:

Arjan Blokland (NSCR & Leiden University) Pascalle Spaan (Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam) Eric Maes (NICC) Lieven Pauwels (UGent)

- Starting point: assumptions and stereotypes
- Objective: assess criminal career differences between SO and non-SO
- Data & methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Starting point: assumptions and misunderstandings

Widespread misconceptions and assumptions about sex offenders include:

-sex offenders are a different, particular (even peculiar) group of offenders as opposed to non-sex offenders

-sex offenders have a high propensity towards recidivism

-they repeat the same sex offence over and again ('specialists')

-they are **irredeemable** offenders, incorrigibles, who will continue with their offence behaviour until it becomes impossible to them Criminal careers: a comparison of dimensions

A **criminal career** = 'the characterization of the longitudinal sequence of crimes committed by an individual offender' (cfr. Blumstein et al., 1986: 12)

Dimensions / parameters of a criminal career:

Participation

Onset

Termination

Duration

Frequency

# RQ

- Are the criminal careers of sex offenders different in terms of criminal career parameters/dimensions (e.g. onset,...) in comparison with non-sex offenders?
- Are the criminal careers of sex offenders different from the criminal careers of non-sex offenders? (frequency-based GBTM)
- Comparison of national data Belgium and the Netherlands: similar findings of comparison?

# 3. Data

-National datasets for Belgium and the Netherlands

Belgium: All persons convicted in 1995 (n = 136,530)

The Netherlands: All persons convicted in 1997 (n= 153,252)

Datasets include **all prior convictions** and have **long-follow up periods** (B: up to end of 2013; N: up to 2016)

-Sex offender = a person whose index offence (i.e. the offence that led to the conviction in 1995 (B) or 1997 (N)) is or includes a sex offence

# 3. Data

**Conviction data**: advantages and disadvantages, differences between penal codes,...

Challenges in **comparing the data sets** (adapting the datasets to the 'lowest common denominator' wherever necessary)

Creation of common variables (e.g. based on Dutch CBS-based categories, offence categories)

Importance of **patterns** (not per se of concrete numbers)

# 3. Data

# -selection of all sex offenders and a 10% sample of non-sex offenders

BS: n = **885**; BNS: n = **13,380** 

NS\*: n = **963**; NNS: n= **7,716** 

(\*due to adaptation to Belgian data set, Dutch sample < 10%)

-Methods: descriptive analyses and GBTM (frequencybased)

### Results

Focus here primarily on Belgian results

Showcasing career parameters

Descriptive synthesis for the Netherlands

GBTM SO-NSO Belgium and the Netherlands

# Onset



T-test: significant difference

#### Year first conviction



SO: range between 1937 and 1995

NSO: range between 1945 and 1995

#### Year last conviction



SO: range between 1995 and 2013

NSO: range between 1995 and 2013

## **Duration of the criminal career**



T-test: significant difference

#### Frequency



T-test: significant difference

## **Specialization (among sex offenders)**

Ratio sex-related convictions / total convictions

```
N = 823 (62 miss.)
Median of specialization: 44,44%
```

When > 1 conviction (n = 611; 62 miss.) Median of specialization: 28,57%

When > 5 convictions (n = 280; 48 miss.) Median of specialization: 12,5%

When > 10 convictions (n = 140; 35 miss.) Median of specialization: 8,33%

#### Preliminary results: the Netherlands

SEX OFFENDERS	N = 963 (99.2% men); 138 (14.33%) deceased prior to the end of the follow-up period			
Career dimension	Range	Median	SD	
Onset	11-73	22	10.528	
Year first conviction	1954-1997			
Age last conviction	33-94	55	12.788	
(termination)				
Duration	19-62	31	7.483	
Frequency*	3-182	7	16.151	
Frequency**	1-65	6	12.433	
Freq of sex offences*	1-56	1	3.616	

NON-SEX OFFENDERS	N = 7716 (89.9% men); 988 (12.8%) deceased prior to the end of the follow-up period		
Career dimension	Range	Median	SD
Onset	8-73	21	9.740
Year first conviction	1930-1997		
Age last conviction	19-101	51	11.262
(termination)			
Duration	2-61	28	7.174
Frequency *	3-291	8	19.327
Frequency**	1-96	2	6.756
Freq of sex offences*	0-5	/	/
(after 1997)	1 SO: n = 103; 2 SO: n = 13;		
	3 SO: n = 3; 4 SO: n = 4;		
	5 SO: n = 5		

#### Frequency-based GBTM comparison SO-NSO



B: NSO



#### GBTM SO-NSO – Belgium and the Netherlands



В

Ν







Intermediate conclusions

- Criminal career dimensions of sex offenders and non-sex offenders show similar patterns (substantive vs. statistical)
- Based on the **GBTM**, a **remarkable similarity** across the 4 trajectories in the 4 graphs (but mind the differences too)
- Differences between B N are to some extend related to the data (comparisons are difficult): SO and NSO are very similar per country, but differences between countries
- Perhaps we should focus on sex offending rather than sex offenders

Further analyses are underway

Any thoughts or ideas are very welcome